# **FISCAL NOTE**

**Bill #:** Title: SB 469 Direct study of feasibility of Capitol annex **Primary Sponsor:** Duane Grimes **Status:** As Introduced Sponsor signature Date David Ewer, Budget Director Date **Fiscal Summary** FY 2006 FY 2007 **Difference** Difference **Expenditures:** General Fund \$1,180,326 \$427,350 **Revenue:** General Fund \$0 \$0 **Net Impact on General Fund Balance:** (\$1,180,326) (\$427,350)Significant Local Gov. Impact  $\boxtimes$ **Technical Concerns** Included in the Executive Budget X Significant Long-Term Impacts Dedicated Revenue Form Attached Needs to be included in HB 2

## **Fiscal Analysis**

#### **ASSUMPTIONS:**

- Because of the size of the building program currently before the legislature and the anticipated workload
  associated with it, the Architecture and Engineering Division (A & E) would contract with a consultant to
  review the space needs, project growth to 2035 and program the space needs of all the entities that currently
  occupy the Capitol Building.
- 2. The consultants would develop designs that cost effectively meet identified needs and match the historic character of the Capitol. They will also provide cost estimates and presentation materials.
- 3. A & E would manage and administer the design process.
- 4. Consultant selection would begin July 1, 2005; design would begin September 1, 2005, and would be substantially complete by September 15, 2006. The consultant would present the report to the legislative council and the 60<sup>th</sup> legislature.
- 5. The title of the bill includes language that refers to building usage in the Capitol Complex. This programming would broadly look at the other buildings on the complex and update the Capitol Complex master plan, but would focus most of its detailed analysis to the needs identified in the text of the bill.
- 6. A & E would need 1.00 additional FTE (grade 18), which would be devoted to the project.
- 7. The new FTE would require a "new employee office package" (desk, chair, bookcase and file cabinet) and a PC.

## Fiscal Note Request SB 469, As Introduced

(continued)

8. The total cost to implement the bill would be approximately \$1,180,326 in fiscal year 2006 and \$427,350 in fiscal year 2007.

### **FISCAL IMPACT:**

FTE	<b>FY 2006 <u>Difference</u></b> 1.00	<b>FY 2007 <u>Difference</u></b> 1.00
Expenditures: Personal Services Operating Expenses – Contracted Service Operating Expenses – New Employee Package TOTAL	\$52,350 1,125,000 <u>2,976</u> \$1,180,326	\$52,350 375,000 <u>0</u> \$427,350
<u>Funding of Expenditures:</u> General Fund (01)	\$1,180,326	\$427,350
Revenues: General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Fu General Fund (01)	nding of Expenditures): (\$1,180,326)	(\$427,350)

### **LONG TERM IMPACTS:**

The project would include construction of a roughly 100,000 square feet annex and a parking structure. The project cost would be in the range of \$50,000,000.

#### **TECHNICAL NOTES:**

- 1. Page 1, line 26, requires the north and south vehicular access and parking be maintained; however, through the design process other, more acceptable, methods of providing access and parking may be identified.
- 2. Page 1, line 29, states: "the annex should provide for:"; however, since some of the listed spaces will likely be located in the existing Capitol Building, the text should include the Capitol and should be changed to read: "The Capitol with the new annex should provide for:"